



# Plagiarism Checker X Originality Report

**Similarity Found: 23%**

Date: Selasa, Januari 11, 2022

Statistics: 635 words Plagiarized / 2750 Total words

Remarks: Medium Plagiarism Detected - Your Document needs Selective Improvement.

---

International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research Vol. 6, No.01; 2022 ISSN: 2456-7760 www.ijebmr.com Page 1 Causality Relationship between Economic Growth, Export, and Foreign Debt in Indonesia Asnawi Universitas Malikussaleh, Department of Economics Development, Lhokseumawe, Aceh, Indonesia Abstract This study examined the causality relationship between economic growth, exports, and foreign debt in Indonesia. This study used time-series data during 1984-2020. The data analysis methods used were the vector error correction model (VECM) and the Granger causality test.

The results showed that in the long run, exports and the exchange rate had a significant and negative effect on economic growth, while foreign debt had a positive and significant effect on economic growth. According to the Granger causality test, there was a two-way relationship between exports and economic growth and exports as the determining factor for economic growth. There was no Granger relationship between foreign debt and economic growth, although the foreign debt was a determining factor for economic growth.

There was a Granger relationship between the exchange rate and economic growth, but the exchange rate was not a determining factor for economic growth, and there was also a Granger relationship between the exchange rate and exports, but the exchange rate did not determine exports. Keywords: economic growth, exports, foreign debt, and exchange rate 1. Introduction Economic development aims to increase state income to encourage economic growth and social welfare. The potential of natural resources in Indonesia is quite adequate, and this is an asset that needs to be explored to increase economic growth.

In fact, since 1980, Indonesia's economic growth has always been positive even though the income level has moved slowly. Therefore, capital loans from other countries are necessary to increase economic growth because of the lack of skill in human resources in processing natural resource potentials to finance economic development (Atmadja, 2000). Besides, efforts to increase economic growth in Indonesia through foreign trade by increasing exports are moving slowly.

Since 1984, exports ratio to **Gross Domestic Product (GDP)** was 8%, and decreased in 1990 by 2%, then increased in 2000 by 3%, and decreased again in 2010 by 2%, and continued to decline in 2020 by 1% (Statistics Indonesia 2020). The decline in exports in Indonesia is due to export goods and demand **in the world market** that cannot compete with agricultural goods in other countries due to the low-quality production (Amri & Nazamuddin, 2018). The unstable development of export value influences the decline in foreign exchange reserves in a state as a source to finance economic development. **International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research Vol. 6, No.01; 2022 ISSN: 2456-7760 www.ijebmr.com Page 2 Economic development that continues to develop in Indonesia requires large amounts of capital to finance it, while domestic capital is not sufficiently available.**

Therefore, the Indonesian **government is forced to request loan assistance from other countries, such as low-interest loans (grants), development assistance, export credit, and other forms of loans.** Since 1980, the ratio of foreign debt to GDP continued to increase, wherein 1984, it was 4.79% and decreased by 1.01% in 1990, then increased by 5.39% in 2000, and decreased again by 1.56%, but in 2020 government debt increases by 19.34%. Indonesia's debt growth has continued to develop **over the past 10** years, indicating a tendency for higher economic development to be financed by foreign debt, but the usage is still not right with results that are not yet fully optimal.

Meanwhile, foreign loans must be returned, at the same time with interest, where debt repayments damage the country's economic order, which has an impact on the people. **Studies on the relationship between** economic growth, exports, and foreign debt have been carried out by a number of researchers. (Jones, 1989; Sheehey, 1990; Bahrumshah & Rashid, 1999). Ahmed et al (2000) examined the reciprocal relationship between exports, foreign debt, and economic growth in South and Southeast Asian countries. **The result showed that there was no relationship between the three variables.**

Amoateng & Amoako-Adu (1996) **examined the reciprocal relationship** of export growth, **foreign debt, and economic growth in** various African countries. The results suggest that **there is a two-way relationship between** foreign debt, exports, and economic growth. Previously, the research study conducted by Bhat (1995) and Chandra

(2003) pointed out that there is positive relationship between export growth and output growth. In line with Bhat and Chandra, an empirical study conducted by Shirazi and Manap (2004) also found a significant long-term relationship between these three variables.

Other researcher such as Pradhan (2010), Mishra (2011), Ray (2011), Kaur & Sindhu (2012), and Devi (2013) suggested the research results based on time-series analysis to explore the causal relationship between output growth and exports, where the first group of researchers supported the hypothesis and found that output growth was driven by exports. Saad's (2012) research results showed the reciprocal relationship between economic growth and foreign debt and exports had a direct relationship with economic growth and granger causality from exports to foreign debt and showed unidirectional causality from exchange rates to economic growth. 2. Method This study used time-series data from 1984 to 2020.

The data for Gross Domestic Product (GDP), Exports, Foreign Debt (DEP), and exchange rates (ECR) were obtained from the Indonesian Central Bureau of Statistics. Gross Domestic Product (GDP), Exports (EXP), foreign debt (DEP), and Exchange rate (ECR) were transformed in the form of Logs. To find a reciprocal relationship between economic growth, exports, and foreign debt in Indonesia from 1984 to 2020, the several steps used consisted of testing the unit root test following the Augmented Dickey-Fuller or ADF (1981) and developed by Phillip Peron (1988). The next step, the Cointegration Test based on Johansen and Juselius (1990) with maximum likelihood estimation and likelihood ratio test statistics based on the maximum Eigen test or trace test values.

When these variables are integrated, two equations are as follows: (1) the long-term equation formulated as follow: International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research Vol. 6, No.01; 2022 ISSN: 2456-7760 www.ijebmr.com Page 3  $LGDP_t = \alpha + \beta_1 LEXP_t + \beta_2 LDEP_t + \beta_3 ECR_t + \epsilon_t$  LGDP is the logarithmic value of Gross Domestic Product, LEXP is the logarithmic value of exports, while LDEP is the logarithmic value of foreign debt, and ECR is the exchange rate. Meanwhile,  $\epsilon_t$  is an error term. (2) the Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) utilized to investigate short run relationship between variables.

Implementation of the dynamic model as mean of data analysis is also supported by a number of previous researcher (Amri, 2018; Hasyim et al., 2020; Nazamuddin & Amri, 2020; Adnan & Amri, 2021). the first difference of operators, GDP is Gross Domestic Product, EXP is exporting, DEP represents foreign debt, and ECR is Exchange Rates. ECT is an error correction term referring to a long-term relationship between variables. 3. Results The results of the research unit root test with the ADF from data on economic

growth, exports, foreign debt, and exchange rate data from 1984 to 2020 as shown in Table 1. Table 1.

The result of the unit root test Variables Unit Root Test ADF Test Statistic Critical Value Explanation LGDP Level I (0) -2.658294 -2.945842 Not Stationary First Difference I(I) -6.098829 -3.632900 Stationary LEXP Level I (0) -2.734208 -2.945842 Not Stationary First difference(I) -4.302993 -3.632900 Stationary LDEP Level I(0) -1.435244 -2.945842 Not Stationary First Difference I (I) -5.345445 -3.632900 Stationary ECR Level (0) -0.718989 -2.945842 Not Stationary First Difference I (I) -7.139865 -3.632900 Stationary

International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research Vol. 6, No.01; 2022 ISSN: 2456-7760 www.ijebmr.com Page 4 Sign \*\*\* (\*\*)

rejects H0, indicating that the stationary variable is significant at 1% (5%) Table 1 shows that LGDP, LEXP, LDEP, and ECR are not stationary at level I (0) because the critical value > the ADF test value is significant at the 5% level.

Therefore, it is necessary to apply the first difference I (I). Based on the stationary test of LPDB, LEXP and LDEP, and ECR in first difference I (1) show the ADF value > critical value at the 1% level. Thus, the three variables are stationary. Table 2. The result of Johansen cointegration tests Hypothesized No. of CE(s) Trace Statistics 0.05 Critical value Probability None \* 48.04976 47.85613 0.0479 At most 1 15.80695 29.79707 0.7258 At most 2 9.205021 15.49471 0.3468 At most 3 3.793955 3.841466 0.0514 Hypothesized No. of CE(s) max-eigen statistics 0.05 Critical value Probability None \* 32.24280 27.58434 0.0117 At most 1 6.601931 21.13162 0.9678 At most 2 5.411065 14.26460 0.6893 At most 3 3.793955 3.841466 0.0514 Note: \* Significant at 5%.

Critical Values from Osterwald-Lenum (1992). The cointegration test in Table 2 with Trace Statistics shows that two vector equations are cointegrated at a significant level of 5% and through max-eigen statistics with the results of the two vector equations with a significant cointegration of 5%. Thus, there is a long-term relationship between LGDP, LEXP, LDEP, and ECR. So, the null hypothesis is rejected from the trace statistics, and there is one vector equation cointegrated in the max-eigen statistics. Table 3.

The result of long-term estimates Variables Coefficient Standard Error t-statistics C -19.92959 LEXP -1.073869\*\*\* 0.31127 -3.45000 LDEP 1.890775\*\*\* 0.53149 3.55750 ECR -0.000424\*\*\* 0.00012 -3.40519 Note: \*\*\* \*\* and\* show significant at 1%, 5% and 10%.

The results in table 3 show that in the long run, exports or LEXP significantly affect economic growth (LGDP) but have a negative relationship. But based on long-term predictions, foreign debt or LDEP has a positive effect on economic growth or LGDP. Meanwhile, the exchange rate or ECR affects inversely or negatively on economic growth in Indonesia. International Journal of Economics, Business and Management

Research Vol. 6, No.01; 2022 ISSN: 2456-7760 www.ijebmr.com Page 5 Furthermore, to study the existence of a short-term relationship, the Granger multivariate causality test according to the Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) correction models is used because there is only one co-integrated vector of the four variables. The test results using the VECM model can be presented in table 4, as follows: Table 4 The result of the Granger causality test

Dependent Variables	Short-run	lagged differences	ETCt-1	?LGDP
0.04431	1.40268	0.12550	-0.09984	644.143
-0.22675	0.07178***	-5.58862	?LE	0.48409
-2.09505**	0.44675	-0.08062	2292.96	0.22793
0.02339	-1.92498*	?LDE	0.27535	0.58363
0.08972	1.23015	1304.24	-0.43113	0.06624
-1.74407*	?E	5.8E-05	-1,70669*	1.9E-05
-2.45596*	5.3E-05	-0.02403	339.980	0.65645

Note: \*\*\* \*\* and\* show significant at 1%, 5% and 10%. Based on the long-term prediction, exports in Indonesia cannot boost the real sector because exports are not productive in creating added value.

After all, agricultural products exported still use semi-technology. Based on the results in table 4 using the Granger causality test with the VECM model, there is a two-way relationship between exports to GDP short and long-term relationship. It means export growth has not been able to encourage economic growth even though exports are a crucial factor in increasing economic growth, where the ECT coefficient is significant at 10% of exports to GDP. Long-term results show that foreign debt can boost economic growth because the government continues to increase debt, where debt can invest in building domestic industries to produce goods for export. 4.

Conclusion Based on the Granger causality test in table 4, there is no short-term and long-term two-way relationship of foreign debt with economic growth because debt can increase economic growth in the long term. However, in the short term, the debt must be paid using foreign exchange so that it has an impact on economic growth. However, foreign debt is not a determining factor that drives economic growth, although the ECT coefficient is significant at 10%. After all, debt is creating inverse economic growth because foreign debt is an obligation that must be paid. Long-term predictions show that the exchange rate has not been able to drive economic growth.

Although it is significantly affected, it has a negative relationship due to a crisis in the rupiah exchange rate against the dollar, resulting in domestically produced goods and services will decline the global market selling values. If examined based on the research results in table 4, there is a Granger relationship in the short and long term between the exchange rate to GDP, International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research Vol. 6, No.01; 2022 ISSN: 2456-7760 www.ijebmr.com Page 6 which means that the rupiah exchange rate is weak against the dollar. It is proven by the insignificant ECT coefficient, which means that the exchange rate is not a determinant of economic growth.

Furthermore, based on the Granger causality test in table 4, there is a two-way relationship in the long and short-term between exchange rates and exports, which affects the fluctuating exchange rate. If the Rupiah exchange rate against the dollar strengthens, it will increase export values (based on agriculture), but the ECT coefficient is not significant. It shows that the exchange rate is not a determinant of the increase in export value in Indonesia. References Adnan, G., & Amri, K. (2021). Do gender empowerment and democracy reduce poverty rate? A cross-provinces evidence from western Indonesia. *Economics and Sociology*, 14 (3), 54-71. Ahmed, Q. M., Butt, M. S., & Alam, S. (2000).

Economic growth, export, and external debt causality: The case of Asian countries. *Pakistan Development Review*, 39(4 PART II), 591-608.

<https://doi.org/10.30541/v39i4iipp.591-608> Amoateng, K., & Amoako-Adu, B. (1996).

Economic growth, export, and external debt causality: The case of African countries.

*Applied Economics*, 28(1), 21-27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036849600000003> Amri, K.

(2018). The macroeconomic impact of regional minimum wages: A cross-province data evidence from Indonesia. *Regional Science Inquiry*, 10 (3), 163-176. Amri, K., & Nazamuddin. (2018).

Is there causality relationship between export and employment: A time-series data evidence from Indonesia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Economics and Management Sciences*, 7(2), 86-99. Atmadja, A. S. (2000). Utang Luar Negeri Pemerintah Indonesia: Perkembangan Dan Dampaknya. *Jurnal Akuntansi & Keuangan*, 2(1), 83-94. <http://puslit.petra.ac.id/journals/accounting/> Badan Pusat Statistik Indonesia, 1984-2020. Statistik Indonesia, tahun 1984-2020. Baharumshah, A. Z., & Rashid, S. (1999). Exports, imports and economic growth in Malaysia: Empirical evidence based on multivariate time series. *Asian Economic Journal*, 13(4), 389-406. Bhat, S. K. (1995).

Export and Economic Growth in India, *Artha Vijnana*, 37(4), 350-358 Chandra, R. (2003).

Re-investigating Export-led growth in India using a Multivariate Cointegration

Framework. *The Journal of Developing Areas*, 37(1), 73-86. Chandra, R. (2003). "Re-

investigating Export-led growth in India using a Multivariate CoegrioFrmewok", *The*

*Journal of Developing Areas*, 37(1), 73-86. Devi, S. S. 2013. "Ert,Eno Growth, and

Causality- A e rlia *Journal of Global Economy*, 9(1). Dickey D.A & Fuller, W.A., (1981).

Distribution of the Estimators for Autoregressive Time Series with a Unit Root,

*Econometrica*. 49: 1057-1072. *International Journal of Economics, Business and*

*Management Research* Vol. 6, No.01; 2022 ISSN: 2456-7760 [www.ijebmr.com](http://www.ijebmr.com) Page 7

Johansen S.,

& K. Jeselius. (1990). **Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Inference on Cointegration: With Applications to the Demand for Money**, **Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics**, Volume 52, No.1, ISSN 0305-9049. Jones, J. D. (1989). A comparison of lag-length selection techniques in tests of Granger causality between money growth and inflation: Evidence for the US. 1959-86. *Applied Economics*, 21, 809-22. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/758520275>. Hasyim, S., Zuhlilmi, M., & Amri, K. (2020). Is there a causality relationship between law enforcement, crime rates, and economic growth? An empirical evidence from western Indonesia. *Regional Science Inquiry*, 11 (3), 95-109. Kaur, R. & A. S. Sidhu. (2012).

The trade Openness, **Exports and Economic Growth** Relationship in India: An Econometric Analysis. *DIAS Technology Review*, 8(2), 43-53. Mishra, P. K. (2011). **The Dynamics of Relationship between exports and economic growth in India**. *International Journal of Economic Sciences and Applied Research*, 4(2),53-70. Nazamuddin, B. S., & Amri, K. (2020). Does goods and services spending reduce income inequality? A panel data evidence from Indonesia. *Regional Science Inquiry*, 12 (1), 87- 102. Osterwald-Lenum. M. (1992). **A note with quantiles of the asymptotic distribution of the maximum likelihood cointegration rank test statistic**, **Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics**, 54: 461-471. Pradhan, N.C. 2010.

Reserve Bank of India Occasional Papers, 31(3). Ray, S. (2011). A Causality Analysis on the Empirical Nexus between Export and Economic Growth: Evidence from India. *International Affairs and Global Strategy*, 1, 24-38 Saad, W. (2012). Causality between Economic Growth, Export, and External Debt Servicing: The Case of Lebanon. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 4(11), 134 143. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijef.v4n11p134> Sheehy, E. J. (1990). Exports and Growth: A Flawed Framework. *The Journal of Development Studies*, 27(1), 111 116. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220389008422186> Shirazi, N. S., & Manap, T. A. A. (2004). **Exports and economic growth** nexus: The case of Pakistan. *Pakistan Development Review*, winter, 43(4), 563-79.

#### INTERNET SOURCES:

1% - [https://ijebmr.com/uploads/pdf/archivepdf/2022/IJEBMR\\_891.pdf](https://ijebmr.com/uploads/pdf/archivepdf/2022/IJEBMR_891.pdf)

15% - [https://www.ijebmr.com/uploads/pdf/archivepdf/2022/IJEBMR\\_889.pdf](https://www.ijebmr.com/uploads/pdf/archivepdf/2022/IJEBMR_889.pdf)

<1% - [https://unctad.org/en/docs/ditctncd20031p5\\_en.pdf](https://unctad.org/en/docs/ditctncd20031p5_en.pdf)

<1% -

<https://www.frbsf.org/economic-research/publications/economic-letter/2019/is-rising-c>

oncentration-hampering-productivity-growth/

<1% -

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/24082014\\_Causality\\_between\\_exports\\_world\\_income\\_and\\_economic\\_growth\\_in\\_Pakistan](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/24082014_Causality_between_exports_world_income_and_economic_growth_in_Pakistan)

<1% -

[https://www.academia.edu/42661307/Exports\\_Led\\_Growth\\_Hypothesis\\_in\\_Pakistan\\_Further\\_Evidence](https://www.academia.edu/42661307/Exports_Led_Growth_Hypothesis_in_Pakistan_Further_Evidence)

<1% -

[https://www2.southeastern.edu/orgs/econjournal/index\\_files/JIGES%20DEC%202014%20MALHOTRA%20KUMARI%201-31-2015.pdf](https://www2.southeastern.edu/orgs/econjournal/index_files/JIGES%20DEC%202014%20MALHOTRA%20KUMARI%201-31-2015.pdf)

<1% - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6801594/>

<1% -

<http://www.academicroom.com/article/worldwide-diffusion-market-oriented-infrastructure-reform-1977-1999>

1% -

<http://tyigit.bilkent.edu.tr/metrics2/read/Modeling%20Money%20Demand%20in%20Germany.pdf>

<1% - <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0144598720930424>

<1% -

<https://www.koreascience.kr/journal/OTGHEU/v8n1.view?orgId=kodisa&hide=breadcrumb,journalinfo>

1% - <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23322039.2019.1622998>

1% - <http://jmas.unbari.ac.id/index.php/jmas/article/view/115>

<1% - <http://ji.unbari.ac.id/index.php/ilmiah/article/view/1160>

1% - <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/ohuiibf/issue/49547/507759>

1% -

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/5153270\\_Has\\_the\\_Link\\_Between\\_the\\_Spot\\_and\\_Forward\\_Exchange\\_Rates\\_Broken\\_Down\\_Evidence\\_from\\_Rolling\\_Cointegration\\_Tests](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/5153270_Has_the_Link_Between_the_Spot_and_Forward_Exchange_Rates_Broken_Down_Evidence_from_Rolling_Cointegration_Tests)