



# Plagiarism Checker X Originality Report

**Similarity Found: 8%**

Date: Wednesday, September 09, 2020

Statistics: 323 words Plagiarized / 4034 Total words

Remarks: Low Plagiarism Detected - Your Document needs Optional Improvement.

---

Academic Research International Journal Vol. 7 (2) March 2016 ISSN-L:2223-9553 Online ISSN:2223-9944 **DECENTRALIZATION OF AGRICULTURAL SECTOR IN INCREASING LOCAL REVENUES IN THE PROVINCE OF ACEH** Asnawi1. **Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Malikussaleh** asnawiabd@yahoo.com Rasyidin , 2 Faculty of Social and Politic Science Rasyidin\_unimal@yahoo.com **ABSTRACT** Objective of research to study the decentralization of the agricultural sector, namely agriculture, is the model VECM (Vector Error Corrections Model), data time series 1981/1982-2012.

Research **results found in the long term agriculture is significant and positively associated with local revenue. Plantation significant and negative effect local revenue. Livestock significantly and negatively local revenue. Fisheries significantly positively related to local revenues and Forestry significantly and negatively local revenues. While agriculture, farming, livestock, fisheries and forestry is insignificant and does not form relationships Granger cause in the short term.**

Thus, **local revenues in Aceh only influenced by agriculture, plantation, livestock, fisheries and forestry in the long term. Keywords: Decentralization, Local Revenues and Agriculture Sector** **BACKGROUND** Regional development is an integral portion of national development, where the success of regional development is an indicator of the success of the national development. For achieve this, **the central government has** the authority to local governments to explore and develop their regions according to their potential.

The decentralized authorization, aims create a regional financial autonomy in financing development activities of their own local revenue sources. Local independence is measured by the size of local revenue, compared with the local revenue from sources of

government assistance or loan trades (Halim, 2008). Reform of government policies directed decentralization with the aim of giving authority to local governments to increase revenue to support the development budget is based on the potential of the region. Regulation No.

25 of 1999, financing in the implementation of decentralization sourced from 1 Lectures of Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Malikussaleh Aceh, Indonesia. 2 Lectures of Fakultas Ilmu Sosial dan Ilmu Politik Universitas Malikussaleh Aceh, Indonesia. Local revenues, were excavated from the area of wealth management. Regulation No. 33 of 2004 stated in the framework of the implementation of local government to serve the people, the central government gives tax authorities to the region in order to optimize revenue.

PREVIOUS RESEARCH According to Deddy (2002) (1) 12 provinces are sensitive to enhancement local revenues, and 17 provinces whose PDRB is not enough changes affect the increase in local revenue; (2) The national average growth of local revenue was 2.66% and Aceh is among the provinces with sizeable local revenue growth, which amounted to 43.31%; (3) The average ratio of local revenues in APBD 2001-2002 was 27.17%, 17 provinces in Indonesia, including Aceh with higher local revenue growth, however, its contribution in the APBD has decreased.

Because of an increase in the amount of equalization funds received; (4) the financial capacity of Aceh province in the category of medium, index IKK 0.38. Santoso and Rahayu (2005), founded the local revenue as a reception area that reflects the level of independence of the region, the greater income native to the area, the less dependence on the center and make the region self-sufficient in implementing decentralization fiscal. Local revenue collected under the legislation in force.

Murtadha (2013), founded that the elasticity of local revenues to revenues gross regional domestic fishery sector without oil and gas, constant prices showed a positive and elastic (4.35 %) which means the rate of growth of the fisheries sector is responsive to growth in local revenue. However, the contribution Local revenue fisheries sector to the average area since 1981/1982 to 2012 are very small, namely 0.005 percent with a growth rate of 2.77 %. PROBLEMS RESEARCH Granted Aceh special autonomy, intended for local governments to reduce dependence on financing for development to the central government.

Halim (2008) says that the higher the ratio of local independence means the level of local dependence on central government finances is smaller. Because, local governments should be able to multiply the sources of the potential of their region

through increased revenue. The agricultural is a potential sector that can contribute to local revenues in the province of Aceh, where the judging just too small contribution of the agricultural sector, during 2010, of 1.09%, 2011 (1.16%), and 2012 (1.11%) (Aceh in figures, 2012).

The low contribution of agriculture to local revenues of the agricultural sector in the province have not been able to increase local revenue and yet so ready to welcome the special autonomy from the central government. Therefore, according to Deddy (2002) almost regions in Indonesia are largely financial dependency with the central government is still large, 17 provinces in Indonesia (56.62%), including Aceh province. OBJECTIVE The objective of research to study the decentralization of the agricultural sector, namely agriculture, plantation, livestock, fishery and forestry in increasing local revenue in Aceh province.

DATA AND SOURCES Data research used secondary data with the time series at 1981/1982-2012. The data on the development of product domestic regional bruto (PDRB) of agriculture, plantation, livestock, fisheries and forestry from Aceh Aceh in figure, the cooperation of the Central Statistics Agency (BPS) and the Aceh Development Planning Board (BAPPEDA) Aceh province. Data local revenue (PAD) sourced from Aceh in Figures, BPS and BAPPEDA Aceh province. THEORETICAL BASIS Decentralization is a delegation of authority from the central government to local governments.

Gabriel (1978) states that decentralization is another term for a local state that government administrative regions, namely local governments manage and regulate affairs administration in his own administrative. Rondinelli and Cheema (1983), defines decentralization as the transfer of planning, decision-making or administrative authority of the central government to the central organization in the region, local administrative units, semi-autonomous and degree of organizations (companies), local government or non-government organizations.

Local revenue is one source income area, in addition to the central government, in the form of general allocation funds (DAU), a special allocation (DAK), balancing, oil and special autonomy. Kristiadi (1985) mentions local revenue broadly to include, reception derived from its own local government and central government which in practice may take the form of profit sharing payments and aid centers direct subsidies to the region for the special purposes. Ichsan and Effendi (1995) mentions local revenues is essentially revenue from all sources things are determined by the government of Level I and Level II regulation No.

5 of 1974 local revenue consists of (1) local taxes, (2) levies, (3) a portion of the profit of

local enterprises, (4) receipt of agencies and (5) other revenue. Regulation No. 18 of 2001 on special autonomy of Aceh, Article 4 paragraph (1) letter a mention of the source of local revenue Aceh Province, consisting of: (a) local taxes, (b) levies, (c) charity, (d) the results of companies belonging to the region and other **areas of wealth management** results were separated, and (e) other legitimate income areas.

Regulation number 11 of 2006, section 180 sources of local revenues and local revenues Aceh district/city, consisting of; (a) local taxes, (b) levies, (c) the results of the wealth management area separated belongs to Aceh/districts / cities that are legitimate and results of equity in Aceh, district / city, (d) Islamic obligation and (e) other revenue and revenue Aceh regency The regulation of Republic Of Indonesia **number 33 of 2004** local revenue is earned income areas levied by local regulations in accordance with legislation. Act, No.

25 of 1999 Article 4 stated source of local revenue consists of; (a) the results of local taxes; (b) levies; (c) the results of companies belonging to the region and other **areas of wealth management** results were separated; and (d) other legitimate source revenues. Reform of the law on local revenues continue to be made with regulation of Indonesia No. 33 of 2004, the types of income, namely; (1) local taxes; (2) levies; (3) the results of the wealth management area separated and (4) other elements legitimate local revenues.

As for the other elements of local revenue that is illegal under the **law number 33 of 2004**, namely; (1) the sale **of the wealth of** separated areas; (2) current accounts; (3) interest income; (4) The difference advantage of the rupiah against foreign currencies; (5) the commission; (6) pieces or other forms as a result of the sale and or services by local. Halim (2005) mentions the local revenue is derived from local revenue sources of the local economy. Sources of revenue, four types; (1). Local taxes, (2) local levies, (3) The results of companies belonging to the region and result-owned wealth management separated areas, (4) other legitimate source revenues.

METHODOLOGY Model to analyze the decentralization of the agricultural sector; agriculture, plantation, livestock, fishing, and forestry to increase **local revenues in the** Aceh are;  $PAD = f (PTG, PKB, PTN, PIK, KHT)$  (1) PAD is local revenues, PTG is agriculture, PKB is plantation, PTN is livestock, PIK is fishery, and KHT is forestry. For purposes of the study, the specification model of decentralized management of agriculture, plantation, livestock, fishery and forestry **in increasing local revenues** estimated in the log- linear form become :  $\ln PAD = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln PTG + \beta_2 \ln PKB + \beta_3 \ln PTN + \beta_4 \ln PIK + \beta_5 \ln KHT + t$  (2) (PAD) is local revenues logarithmic,  $\ln PTG$  is agriculture logarithmic,  $\ln PKB$  is plantation logarithmic,  $\ln PTN$  is livestock logarithmic,  $\ln PIK$  is fisheries logarithmic and  $\ln KHT$  is

forestry lihmiß 0 is a constant,  $\beta_1, \dots$

$\beta_5$  is coefficient regression and  $e_t$  is the error term. Unit Root Test Overall the variables included in the model of decentralized management of the **agricultural sector in increasing local revenues** Aceh by using time series data first needs to be tested stationary phase before. cointegration test. the stationary **test is used to** avoid spurious regression (Granger and Newbold, 1974). the cointegration test to ensure the t test and F can be used. Just only variables that have the same degree, likely to have a relationship cointegration relationship.

Data time series, is stationary if the mean and variant had development based on time. Likened  $Y_t$  is a stochastic time series and mean, variant and covariant is is: Mean :  $E(Y_t)$  (3) Variant :  $var(Y_t) = E(Y_t - \mu)^2$  (4) Covariant :  $k = E[(Y_t - \mu)(Y_{t+k} - \mu)]$  (5)  $k$  is covariant  $Y_t$  and  $Y_{t+k}$  at lag  $k$ . If,  $Y_t$  is stationary, then the mean, variant and covariant is similar, although various levels of lag  $k$ . (Kamal Hassan Bin Badrin, 2006/2007).

The unit root test based on the functions described :  $Y_t = \alpha + \beta Y_{t-1} + \mu_t$  (6)  $\mu_t$  is a variable disorder and fulfill all the assumptions Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) with zero mean and constant variance (2) is not autocorrelations. The  $u_t$  is the stochastic variable  $t$  is stationary on the unit root test. If the time series data of differential by  $d$ , then the time series data integration on the degree  $d$  of  $Y_t \sim I(d)$  (Kamal Hassan Bin Badrin, 2006/2007). Test used to test the **unit root test is** through Augmented Dickey Fuller or ADF (Dickey and Fuller, 1981) In the ADF test Statistics  $\tau$  to be used to determine the unit root test of time series data.

The equation of the unit root test, ie;  $\Delta Y_t = \alpha + \beta Y_{t-1} + \mu_t$  (7)  $\Delta Y_t$  the first derivative of the time series data  $Y_t$  ( $Y_t - Y_{t-1}$ )  $\beta_1$  is intercept,  $\mu_t$  is the error term and  $m$  is referring to the long lag. Cointegration Test Cointegration Test used is the **method of Johansen and Juselius** (1990). This method is based on maximum likelihood estimation by likelihood ratio test statistic approach through eigen test maximum value or trace test. The second is the value of those statistics; Trace Test statistic  $\lambda = -T \ln(1 - \lambda_j)$  (8) Statistic **Maximum Eigen value Test** :  $\lambda_{max} = -T \ln(1 - \lambda_{r+1})$  (9)  $\lambda(r)$  is test statistic trace and  $\lambda_{max}(r)$  statistic maximum test of value-eigen is the rank cointegration,  $T$  is amount sample  $\lambda$  is value than matrix  $\lambda$ . Model VECM VECM models to **analyze the relationship between** long-term and short-term between the variables used.

Although, among the variables cointegrated **in the long term**, but **in the short term** the possibility of these variables are not balanced. VECM models assume that the inequalities in the short within a certain time series can be improved on next time series.

Corrected time series with VECM is parameterized lag (ECT (el, t-1)) is an adjustment parameter which measures the distribution of short-term to long-term (Badrin bin Kamal Hassan, 2006/2007). **In the short term**, likely among the variable scattering each other and cause an imbalance in the system. Therefore, the VECM will measure how far the system is out of balance the short term. Ganger R and C.

ganger (1987) shows that, if the variable (say  $X_t$  and  $Y_t$ ) cointegrated, there will be improvement in the representation of the equation, which is intended to indis rimate movement or change in the dependent variable and the other variables torch. The  $X_t$  or both ?  $Y_t$  ? sequence of Error Correction Model (ECM) is equal to or t-1 (repair balance) which itself serves on  $X_{t-1}$ , ? may be caused by  $Y_{t-1}$ . From the model VAR (vector autoregressive), we can define the VECM models: ?  $Y_t = (10)$  Where ;  $Y_t =$  in vector  $n \times 1$   $A_t$  and ?  $i =$  parameter estimate ? = deverative operator  $v_t =$  vector caused and effect the meaning for etimate in the  $Y_t$  and  $Y_t$  dan ? .

In addition to determining the direction of causality, VECM models can also descriptionhort-term relationships and long-term. F test for each variable lag may explain the relationship of short-term and long-term through the t test for fixing the lag parameter. If the variables are cointegrated **in the short term** scattered towards the long-term balance, there will be a movement toward long-term equilibrium of the dependent variable (Mukhlis, 2007). Improvement of parameterized variable lag (ECT(el, t-1)) is a parameter or variable adjustment which can measure the degree of scattering of the short term of the long- term.

In the short term, the variables that may be strewn with each other will cause an imbalance in the system. Therefore, VECM can measure a system is out of balance short-term or not. VECM estimated by **using Ordinary Least Square** (OLS). RESULT AND DISCUSSION Test the unit root test to circumvent spurious regression, from time series data 1981/1982-2012, processed by using Eview4.1 based methods ADF as follows:  
 Table 1 Stasionary test Method ADF Variabel Level I(0) First Difference I(1) Intercept Trend dan Intecept Intercept Trend dan Intercept InPAD Test statistic 0,730535  
 -1.988517 -4.294740\* -4.236329\* Test Critical Values : 1% -3.661661 -4.284580  
 -3.670170 -4.296729 Test Critical Values : 5% -2.960411 -3.562882 -2.963972 -3.568379  
 Test Critical Values : 10% -2.619160 -3.215267 -2.621007 -3.218382 Probability 0,9909  
 0.5845 0.0021 0.0115 InPTG Test statistic -1.406839 -2.901937 -5.791178\* -5.808122\*  
 Test Critical Values : 1% -3.661661 -4.284580 -3.670170 -4.296729 Test Critical Values :  
 5% -2.960411 -3.562882 -2.963972 -3.568379 Test Critical Values : 10% -2.619160  
 -3.215267 -2.621007 -3.218382 Probability 0.5661 0.1756 0.0000 0.0002 InPKB Test  
 statistic -1.172094 -3.605990\*\* -5.035609\* -5.046570\* Test Critical Values : 1% -3.661661  
 -4.296729 -3.670170 -4.296729 Test Critical Values : 5% -2.960411 -3.568379 -2.963972



-3.568379 Test Critical Values : 10% -2.619160 -3.218382 -2.621007 -3.218382  
 Probability 0.6736 0.0462 0.0003 0.0016 InPTN Test statistic -2.173000 -5.714944\*  
 -6.889850\* -6.944529\* Test Critical Values : 1% -3.670170 -4.284580 -3.679322  
 -4.309824 Test Critical Values : 5% -2.963972 -3.562882 -2.967767 -3.574244 Test  
 Critical Values : 10% -2.621007 -3.215267 -2.622989 -3.221728 Probability 0.2196 0.0003  
 0.0000 0.0000 InPIK Test statistic -1.779460 -3.012115 -6.969137\* -7.295911\* Test  
 Critical Values : 1% -3.661661 -4.284580 -3.670170 -4.296729 Test Critical Values : 5%  
 -2.960411 -3.562882 -2.963972 -3.568379 Test Critical Values : 10% -2.619160 -3.215267  
 -2.621007 -3.218382 Probability 0.3832 0.1451 0.0000 0.0000 InKHT Test statistic  
 -1.939387 -2.560873 -5.952121\* -6.130410\* Test Critical Values : 1% -3.661661  
 -4.284580 -3.670170 -4.296729 Test Critical Values : 5% -2.960411 -3.562882 -2.963972  
 -3.568379 Test Critical Values : 10% -2.619160 -3.215267 -2.621007 -3.218382  
 Probability 0.3108 0.2992 0.0000 0.0001 \* (\*\*) reject H0, showing stationary variables  
 significant at 1% (5%).

Based on Table 1, the test stationary unit root using the ADF method showed that local revenue (PAD) is significant in the I (I) intercept and trend and intercept or stationary by using regression constant (intercept) and a trend at 1%. (PTG) is Agriculture significant at the 1% level I (1) to intercept and intercept and trend meaningful time series data is stationary. (PKB) is Plantation significant at the 5% level I (0) with the trend and intercept and significant at 1% at the level I (I) intercept and trend and intercept.

(PTN) is livestock is significant at the 1% level I (0) with the trend and intercept and significant at 1% at the level I (I) intercept and trend and intercept. (PIK) is Fisheries significant at the 1% level I (1) to intercept and intercept and trend. (KHT) is Forestry significant in I (1) in intercept and trend and intercept respectively at the 1% level of confidence. Table 2 Test of Variables Cointegration Johansen used Trace Test and Max-Eigen Value Vector Null Hyp Not cointegrated Statistics Statistic Value Trace Max-Eigen Critical Value 0,05 Critical 0.01 InPAD r = 0\*\* 149.5154 73.11188\*\* 94.15 103.18 InPTG 76.40348 32.32426\*\* 68.52 76.07 InPKB 44.07922 18.61686 47.21 54.46 InPTN 25.46236 16.49893 29.68 35.65 InPIK 8.963427 5.866948 15.41 20.04 InKHT 3.096479 3.096479 3.76 6.65 \* (\*\*) Test Trace and Maximum Eigen Value, significant at the 5% (1%).

Table 2 is found both statistical tests provide consistent decisions. Trace through the statistics show that there are two cointegrated vector equation at the 5% significance level. Meanwhile, through max-eigen statistic found to form two vectors cointegrated equations are also at significant level of 5%. This means, there is a long-term relationship between the variables. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected succeeded with at least a form of two equations cointegrated vectors by using statistical trace and

one cointegrated vector equation is using max-eigen statistically.

Decision Johansen cointegration test method trace and max-eigen statistic statistics show a form of long- term relationship between local revenue (PAD) by determining, based on table 4 below; Table 3 Results Long Term Relationship VECM Model Variable Coefficient t-statistic C 0.053040 - lnPTG(-1) 0.860134 4.15263\* lnPKB(-1) -2.484106 -16.1623\* lnPTN(-1) -0.812566 -8.95934\* lnPIK(-1) 1.195495 5.72522\* lnKHT(-1) -0.765106 -5.17030\* \* (\*\*) indicates significant at 1% (5%). Table 3 is the result of research by VECM models in the long term that indicates that agriculture (PTG) is significant at the 1% level of confidence and positively related to local revenue (PAD).

(PKB) is Plantation and a significant negative effect on local revenue (PAD) at the 1% level of confidence. (PTN) is .livestock negatively related to local revenue (PAD) and significant at the 1% level of confidence. (PIK) is significant at the 1% level of confidence that are positively related to revenue (PAD and Forestry (KHT Fisheries) is significant and negatively related to local revenue (PAD), with a confidence level of 1% Table 4 Results Short-term relationship VECM Model Variable Coefficient t - statistic C - 2.29128 ECTt-1 -0.024433 -0.32252 lnPTG(-1)) -0.010347 -0.03669 lnPKB(-1)) 0.531915 1.09033 lnPTN(-1)) -0.008355 -0.21214 lnPIK(-1)) -0.142777 -0.78988 lnKHT(-1)) -0.057989 -0.30120 \* (\*\*) indicates significant at 1% (5%). Table 4 ECTt-1 value-1nya insignificant that shows all the independent variables does not happen speed of adjustment is significant. This means do not bear the burden of adjustment lag to balance in the long term.

In other words, the selected variables are exogenous. In addition, all variables found to be free; agriculture, farming, livestock, fisheries and forestry) is not significant, both on the confidence level of 1% and 5%, which means do not form relationships Granger cause in the short term. Thus, local revenue (PAD) only influenced by agriculture, plantation, livestock, fishery and forestry in the long term.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATION Results of research with VECM model approach, showed that agriculture (PTG) were significant in the long term and are positively related to local revenue (PAD). This means that in the long term agricultural/food crops can increase local revenue in Aceh provision, because the construction of irrigation facilities continue to be improved and the intensification of agriculture/crop began to be implemented. However, agriculture/crop is still not able to improve productivity optimal. Steps to be taken, are policies that support increased agricultural productivity/crop, given the need for agricultural products/crops continues to increase.

Fisheries (PIK) was significantly related to positive in the long term to increase local



revenue (PAD). Modernization of the fisheries sector in the province is able to increase productivity, both sea and inland fisheries. However, the limitations of yield management tool will reduce the income of fishermen and farmers. Strategic policy is the growth of the fisheries sector investment to increase revenue. Ranch (PTN) is significant in the long term and negatively related to local revenues.

Increased demand for livestock commodities, can not be met by industrial livestock sector in Aceh, even more dependent on supplies from North Sumatra This, due to the lack of the livestock industry in Aceh. Regulatory policy is to encourage the growth of the livestock industry and grow the livestock industry that is productive and selective in the livestock industry potential of the session demand and potential areas. REFERENCES

(1) Badan Pusat Statistik Provinsi Aceh, 2012, Aceh Dalam Angka, tahun : 2012 (2) Bappeda dan BPS, 1993-2003, Produk Domestik Regional Bruto Aceh, 1993-2003 (3) Bappeda. 2012. Perkembangan Pembangunan Daerah Aceh, Bahagian Ekonomi.

(4) Cheema, G. Shabbir, and Rondinelli, Dennis A., 1983, Decentralization and Development, Policy Implementation in Developing Countries, London: Sage Publication (5) Deddy, 2002, Peta Kemampuan Keuangan Provinsi Dalam Era Otonomi: Tinjauan Atas Kinerja Pendapatan Asli Daerah dan Upaya yang Dilakukan Daerah, [www.bappenas.go.id/index.php/download-file/view/11284/3789](http://www.bappenas.go.id/index.php/download-file/view/11284/3789). (6) Devas. N, 1987. Financing Local Government in Indonesia, ISBN 979-456-032-4 (7) Dickey D.A & Fuller W.A., 1981. Distribution of the Estimators for Autoregressive Time Series with a Unit Root, *Econometrica*.49: 1057-1072. (8) Granger, C.W.J dan Newbold, P. 1974. Spurious regressions in econometrics, *Journal of Econometrics* pp.111-120. (9) Granger, R & C. Granger, 1987, Co-Integration and Error Correction Representation Model Specification, *Journal of Econometrics* 16, : 121-276. (10) Halim, Abdul, 2008, Akuntansi Keuangan Daerah, Edisi 2, Penerbit Salemba 4 Jakarta. (11) Halim. A. 2005.

Akuntansi Sektor Publik, Salemba Empat. Jakarta (12) Ichsan. C dan Rustam E. 1995. Strategi Pengembangan PAD, Kodya Sabang dalam memperkokoh Kerjasama Indonesia-Malaysia, Menyukkseskan IMT-GT menjelang tahun 2000. FE Unsyiah dan FE\_UKM Malaysia (13) Iglesias, U Gabriel, 1978. Regionalization and Regional Development in the Philippines, UP- CPA, Manila. (14) Johansen S., & K. Jeselius. 1990. Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Inference on Cointegration: With Applications to the Demand for Money, *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, Volume 52, No.1, ISSN 0305-9049. (15) Kamal Badrin Bin Hassan. 2006/2007.

Inflasi di Malaysia: Kesan Daripada Faktor Dalaman atau Faktor Luaran? Master Tesis (tidak dipublikasikan), Fakultas Ekonomi dan Perniagaan, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan. Malaysia. (16) Kristiadi. J.B. 1985. Masalah Sekitar

Pendapatan Asli Daerah, Prisma. No.12 Tahun 1985. Hal 35- 43. (17) Mukhlis. 2007. Faktor Penentu Pelaburan Langsung Asing di Negara-Negara ASEAN, Master Tesis (tidak dipublikasikan), Fakultas Ekonomi dan Perniagaan, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan. Malaysia.

(18) Murtadha, 2013, Desentralisasi Pengelolaan Sumberdaya Perikanan Dalam Upaya Meningkatkan Pendapatan Asli Daerah di kabupaten Aceh Utara, Tesis (Tidak dipublikasikan), Program PascaSarjana Ilmu Manajemen, Universitas Malikussaleh. (19) Ramadhani, S, 2007, Analisis Pertumbuhan Sektor-Sektor Ekonomi Kabupaten Lahat pada masa otonomi Daerah, (Skripsi) Fakultas Ekonomi Institute Pertanian Bogor (20) Republik Indonesia, 2001, Undang-Undang No. 18 Tahun 2001 :Tentang **Otonomi Khusus Bagi Provinsi Daerah Istimewa Aceh Sebagai Provinsi** Nanggrow Aceh Darussalam, Jakarta. (21) Republik Indonesia, 2004, Undang-Undang No. 32 Tahun 2004 :Tentang Pemerintah Daerah. Jakarta.

(22) Republik Indonesia, 2004, Undang-Undang No. 33 Tahun 2004 :Tentang **Perimbangan Keuangan Antara Pemerintah Pusat** dengan Pemerintah Daerah, Jakarta.

(23) Republik Indonesia, 2006, Undang-Undang No. 11 Tahun 2006 : Tentang Pemerintah Aceh, Jakarta. (24) Santoso dan Rahayu, 2005, Analisis Pendapatan Asli Daerah (PAD) dan Faktor-Faktor yang mempengaruhinya dalam Upaya Pelaksanaan Otonomi Daerah di Kabupaten Kediri, Dinamika Pembangunan, Vol 2. (25) Smith, B.C. 1985, Decentralization: The Territorial Dimension of The State. London: Asia Publishing House (26) Turner, Mark dan Hulme, David. 1997. Governance, Administration and Development Making the State Work. Macmillan Press Ltd., London.

#### INTERNET SOURCES:

---

1% - <http://journals.savap.org.pk/vol7n2.html>

3% - <http://repository.unimal.ac.id/1013/>

<1% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/social-sciences/local-government>

<1% -

<https://www.satp.org/satporgtp/publication/faultlines/volume6/Fault6-GSach-F.htm>

<1% - <https://it.scribd.com/doc/134355484/Aceh-DalAm-Angka-2010>

<1% -

[https://mafiadoc.com/download-2914kb-vuir-victoria-university\\_5a2c0a721723ddc0615fcedf.html](https://mafiadoc.com/download-2914kb-vuir-victoria-university_5a2c0a721723ddc0615fcedf.html)

1% -

<https://pubadmindonesia.blogspot.com/2014/12/village-autonomy-in-indonesia.html>

<1% - [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Non-governmental\\_organization](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Non-governmental_organization)  
<1% -  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334236946\\_THE\\_EFFECT\\_OF\\_LOCAL\\_GOVERNMENT\\_OWN\\_REVENUE\\_AND\\_REVENUE\\_SHARING\\_FUNDS\\_ON\\_ECONOMIC\\_PERFORMANCE\\_AND\\_COMMUNITY\\_WELFARE\\_THROUGH\\_CAPITAL\\_EXPENDITURE\\_OF\\_REGENCY\\_CITY\\_IN\\_BALI\\_PROVINCE\\_INDONESIA](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334236946_THE_EFFECT_OF_LOCAL_GOVERNMENT_OWN_REVENUE_AND_REVENUE_SHARING_FUNDS_ON_ECONOMIC_PERFORMANCE_AND_COMMUNITY_WELFARE_THROUGH_CAPITAL_EXPENDITURE_OF_REGENCY_CITY_IN_BALI_PROVINCE_INDONESIA)  
<1% - <https://issuu.com/theijes/docs/b0606011623>  
<1% -  
<https://oll.libertyfund.org/titles/cannan-wealth-a-brief-explanation-of-the-causes-of-economic-wealth>  
<1% -  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/5139649\\_Rethinking\\_an\\_Old\\_Empirical\\_Puzzle\\_Econometric\\_Evidence\\_on\\_the\\_Forward\\_Discount\\_Anomaly](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/5139649_Rethinking_an_Old_Empirical_Puzzle_Econometric_Evidence_on_the_Forward_Discount_Anomaly)  
<1% -  
<https://coderzcolumn.com/tutorials/data-science/how-to-remove-trend-and-seasonality-from-time-series-data-using-python-pandas>  
<1% -  
<https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.612.4329&rep=rep1&type=pdf>  
<1% - [https://issuu.com/alexanderdecker/docs/socio-economic\\_impacts\\_of\\_internati](https://issuu.com/alexanderdecker/docs/socio-economic_impacts_of_internati)  
<1% - <http://ijecm.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/51015.pdf>  
<1% - <http://www.econmodels.com/public/dbArticles.php>  
<1% -  
[https://www.memoireonline.com/04/10/3397/m\\_Modeling-and-forecasting-inflation-in-Rwanda-1995-20092.html](https://www.memoireonline.com/04/10/3397/m_Modeling-and-forecasting-inflation-in-Rwanda-1995-20092.html)  
<1% - <https://www.mdpi.com/1911-8074/12/1/6/htm>  
<1% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0014498307000551>  
<1% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1104689915000495>  
<1% -  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265480585\\_Testing\\_Long\\_Run\\_Relationship\\_between\\_Exports\\_and\\_Imports\\_Evidence\\_from\\_Pakistan](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265480585_Testing_Long_Run_Relationship_between_Exports_and_Imports_Evidence_from_Pakistan)  
<1% -  
<https://www.peertechz.com/Agricultural-Science-Food-Technology/IJASFT-3-126.php>  
<1% -  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312014229\\_Factors\\_affecting\\_paddy\\_farm\\_income\\_in\\_East\\_Kalimantan\\_Indonesia](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312014229_Factors_affecting_paddy_farm_income_in_East_Kalimantan_Indonesia)  
<1% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921344920301981>  
<1% - <http://www.riazhaq.com/2009/10/food-clothing-and-shelter-in-india-and.html>  
<1% -  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/285673061\\_An\\_overview\\_of\\_poultry\\_industry\\_i](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/285673061_An_overview_of_poultry_industry_i)

n\_Pakistan

<1% -

[http://www.uclg-decentralisation.org/sites/default/files/concept\\_paper\\_cglu\\_comision\\_desimalizaci3n\\_EN.pdf](http://www.uclg-decentralisation.org/sites/default/files/concept_paper_cglu_comision_desimalizaci3n_EN.pdf)

<1% -

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228270513\\_The\\_Impact\\_of\\_Tourism\\_on\\_Economic\\_Growth\\_The\\_Case\\_of\\_Turkey](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228270513_The_Impact_of_Tourism_on_Economic_Growth_The_Case_of_Turkey)

<1% -

<https://eddiwahyudi.com/perspektif-pajak-sebagai-sarana-pendukung-pembangunan/pajak-bumi-dan-bangunan-pbb/>

<1% -

[https://id.wikisource.org/wiki/Undang-Undang\\_Republik\\_Indonesia\\_Nomor\\_4\\_Tahun\\_2004](https://id.wikisource.org/wiki/Undang-Undang_Republik_Indonesia_Nomor_4_Tahun_2004)

<1% - <https://peraturan.bpk.go.id/Home/Details/40770/uu-no-33-tahun-2004>

<1% - <http://repository.unair.ac.id/view/subjects/H.html>